

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT**

Public Services - Disciplinary proceedings against Sri Y.Prem Babu, formerly Deputy Superintendent of Police, CID, RCIU, Rajahmundry, now Addl.SP, Vizianagaram – Appointment of Enquiry Officer and Presenting Officer – Orders – Issued.

---

**HOME (SERVICES-I) DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.Rt.No. 347**

**Dated: 14/03/2011**

Read the following:-

1. From the Director General of Police, A.P. Hyderabad, Letter No.197/O2/2010, dated 20.11.2010.
2. Govt. Memo No.35102/Ser.I/A2/2010-1, dated 7.1.2011.
3. From Sri Y.Prem Babu, Addl.SP, Vizianagaram, written statement of defense dated 14.2.2011.

O-O-O

**ORDER:**

The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, in his letter 1<sup>st</sup> read above, has requested the Government to initiate disciplinary proceedings against Sri Y.Prem Babu, formerly Deputy Superintendent of Police, CID, RCIU, Rajahmundry, now Addl.SP, Vizianagaram, for having failed to follow the legal and procedural aspects in examination of the women witness.

**2.** After careful examination of the entire matter, Government have decided to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the said Sri Y.Prem Babu, formerly Deputy Superintendent of Police, CID, RCIU, Rajahmundry, now Addl.SP, Vizianagaram. In the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, a charge Memorandum, under rule-20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991, was issued against the said charged officer, for the following delinquency:-

“He exhibited gross misconduct during the investigation of the case in Cr.No.21/2009 u/s 467, 471, 409, 420 r/w 34 IPC of Eluru III Town PS, West Godavari District wherein he failed to adhere to the provision of Sec. 160(i) Cr.P.C., that woman witnesses should be examined at her convenient place of residence/ office. He sent his SI Sri V.Papa Rao to Secretariat and threatened the witness Smt Ch.Anuradha, Sr.Accountant, Finance Department, A.P. Secretariat over phone and also in person stating that her name would also figure as accused, though there was no evidence, and attributed the crime of taking 10% of the misappropriated amount as commission against her without any evidence. Further, he repeatedly called her to the CID office at Rajahmundry and made her wait in his absence for long periods of time. He also suggested that she should settle the issue with the accused. This is highly reprehensible, irregular and unbecoming for a police officer. Thus, Sri Y.Prem Babu, formerly DSP, CID, RCIU, Rajahmundry indulged in reprehensible conduct and thereby violated Rule-3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964. Hence the charge”.

**3.** In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, the Charged Officer has submitted his written statement of defense, denying the charges framed against him.

**4.** Since the Charged Officer has not admitted the charge framed against him, Government have decided to conduct Oral Enquiry against the said Charged Officer by appointing Enquiry Officer and Presenting Officer.

**5.** Now, therefore, Government in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-rule 2 read with sub-rule 5(b) of Rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991, hereby appoint **Dr. Subhrendu Bhattacharya, IAS**, COI as **Inquiring Authority** and **Sri S.Srinivasa Rao, Advocate**, as the **Presenting Officer** to present the case before the Inquiring Authority.

**6.** The Presenting Officer appointed in para-5 above shall acquaint himself with the facts of the case by procuring the records relating to the case and present the case before the Inquiring Authority effectively on behalf of the Government. The Presenting Officer shall also obtain and furnish records to the Inquiring Authority, if necessary which are required during the course of enquiry.

**p.t.o.**

**7.** The Inquiring Authority shall conduct enquiry strictly in terms of the procedure prescribed under Rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 and shall submit his report to the Government in terms of sub-rule 18 of the said rules, as expeditiously as possible and however it shall not be more than two months from the date of issue of these orders.

**8.** The connected records in original are herewith enclosed and furnished to the Inquiring Authority in this case.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**P.GAUTAM KUMAR**  
**PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
Dr. Subhrendu Bhattacharya, IAS, COI,  
G.A. (COI,SB) Dept. (w.e.)  
Sri S.Srinivasa Rao, Advocate  
H.No.11-2-24, Saradamba Enclave,  
Bapunagar, Street No.4,  
Saroornagar, Hyderabad (w.e)  
The Charged Officer concerned  
through Director General of Police, A.P. Hyderabad  
The Director General of Police, A.P. Hyderabad  
SF/SC

// Forwarded :: by order //

SECTION OFFICER